

WEBINAR **Regenerative Medicine: Building POCUS Skills for Safe and** Accurate PRP Injections December 2023



Your Host





Sonographer | Clinical Marketing Manager



Accuracy of Intraarticular Injections: Blind vs. Image Guided Techniques-A Review of Literature

...image-guided intra-articular injections, particularly ultrasound, have been shown to be more accurate than palpation-guided IAIs for all joints.

Saha P, Smith M, Hasan K. Accuracy of Intraarticular Injections: Blind vs. Image Guided Techniques-A Review of Literature. J Funct Morphol Kinesiol. 2023 Jun 29;8(3):93. doi: 10.3390/jfmk8030093. PMID: 37489306; PMCID: PMC10366715.



Accuracy of Intraarticular Injections: Blind vs. Image Guided Techniques-A Review of Literature

Prasenjit Saha 1, Matthew Smith 2, Khalid Hasan 2

Affiliations + expand PMID: 37489306 PMCID: PMC10366715 DOI: 10.3390/jfmk8030093 Free PMC article

Abstract

Intra-articular injections are widely used for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes of joint pathologies throughout the body. These injections can be performed blind by utilizing anatomical landmarks or with the use of imaging modalities to directly visualize the joint space during injections. This review of the literature aims to comprehensively identify differences in the accuracy of intra-articular injections via palpation vs. image guidance in the most commonly injected joints in the upper and lower extremities. To our knowledge, there are no such comprehensive reviews available. A narrative literature review was performed using PubMed and Google Scholar databases to identify studies focusing on the accuracy of blind or image-guided intra-articular injections for each joint. A total of 75 articles was included in this review, with blind and image-guided strategies being discussed for the most commonly injected joints of the upper and lower extremities. Varying ranges of accuracy with blind and image-guided modalities were found throughout the literature, though an improvement in accuracy was seen in nearly all joints when using image guidance. Differences are pronounced, particularly in deep joints such as the hip or in the small joints such as those in the hand or foot. Image guidance is a useful adjunct for most intra-articular injections, if available. Though there is an increase in accuracy in nearly all joints, minor differences in accuracy seen in large, easily accessed joints, such as the knee, may not warrant image guidance.

Keywords: accuracy; blind; image-guided; intra-articular injections; joints.

PubMed Disclaimer

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Masala S., Fiori R., Bartolucci D.A., Mammucari M., Angelopoulos G., Massari F., Simonetti G. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Joint Injections. Semin. Interv. Radiol. 2010;27:160-171. doi: 10.1055/s-0030-1253514. - DOI - PMC - PubMed
- Berkoff D.J., Miller L.E., Block J.E. Clinical utility of ultrasound guidance for intra-articular knee injections: A review. Clin. Interv. Aging. 2012;7:89-95. - PMC - PubMed
- Stephens M.B., Beutler A.I., O'Connor F.G. Musculoskeletal injections: A review of the evidence. Am. Fam. Physician. 2008;78:971–976. - PubMed
- 4. Ayhan E., Kesmezacar H., Akgun I. Intraarticular injections (corticosteroid, hyaluronic acid, platelet rich plasma) for the knee osteoarthritis. World J. Orthop. 2014;5:351-361. doi: 10.5312/wjo.v5.i3.351. - DOI - PMC - PubMed
- Rozental T.D., Sculco T.P. Intra-articular corticosteroids: An updated overview. Am. J. Orthop. 2000;29:18-23. - PubMed

Ultrasound guidance improves accuracy of knee injections

...ultrasound-guided knee injections were more accurate across every anatomical needle injection site compared with blind injections."

Fang WH, Chen XT, Vangsness CT Jr. Ultrasound-Guided Knee Injections Are More Accurate Than Blind Injections: A Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials. Arthrosc Sports Med Rehabil. 2021 Jun 26;3(4):e1177-e1187. doi: 10.1016/j.asmr.2021.01.028. PMID: 34430899; PMCID: PMC8365196.



 Review
 > Arthrosc Sports Med Rehabil. 2021 Jun 26;3(4):e1177-e1187.

 doi: 10.1016/j.asmr.2021.01.028. eCollection 2021 Aug.

Ultrasound-Guided Knee Injections Are More Accurate Than Blind Injections: A Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials

William H Fang¹, Xiao T Chen¹, C Thomas Vangsness Jr¹

Affiliations + expand PMID: 34430899 PMCID: PMC8365196 DOI: 10.1016/j.asmr.2021.01.028 Free PMC article

Abstract

Purpose: To review the current literature to determine which injection technique and needle portal placement provide the greatest accuracy for intra-articular access to the knee.

Methods: This study followed Preferred Reporting Items and Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. A comprehensive literature search was conducted in March 2020 and repeated in May 2020 using electronic databases PubMed, MEDLINE, and the Cochrane Library. Data on the accuracy of intra-articular knee injection (successful injections/total number of injections) were collected. Only Level I studies were included. Study design, demographic variables, needle sizes, and method of validating accuracy were recorded. The Jadad score was used to assess methodologic quality, and a risk-of-bias assessment was performed.

Results: A total of 12 Level I human studies (1431 patients, 1315 knees) were included in this review. Seven of the studies did a direct comparison between ultrasound-guided and blind knee injections. Ultrasound-guided injections were more accurate compared with blinded knee injections in every study. The most accurate anatomical approach was an isometric quadricep contraction method with the superolateral approach.

Conclusions: This study showed that ultrasound-guided knee injections were more accurate across every anatomical needle injection site compared with blind injections. Injections made by a blind/anatomically guided method had inconsistent accuracy rates that seemed highly dependent on the portal of entry.

Level of evidence: Level I, systematic review of Level I studies.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier on behalf of the Arthroscopy Association of North America.

Figures







The use of ultrasound-guided platelet-rich plasma injections in the treatment of hip OA: a systematic review

> ...Hip intra-articular PRP injections, when performed under ultrasound-guidance, appear to be well tolerated and may be efficacious in delivering long-term and clinically significant pain reduction in patients with hip OA."

Ali M, Mohamed A, Ahmed HE, Malviya A, Atchia I. The use of ultrasound-guided platelet-rich plasma injections in the treatment of hip osteoarthritis: a systematic review of the liferature. J Ultrason. 2018;18(75):332-337. doi: 10.15557/JoU.2018.0048. PMID: 30763018; PMCID: PMC6444309..





Journal info

J Ultrason. 2018; 18(75): 332–337. Published online 2018 Dec 31. doi: 10.15557/JoU.2018.0048 PMCID: PMC6444309 PMID: 30763018

Go to: 🕨

The use of ultrasound-guided platelet-rich plasma injections in the treatment of hip osteoarthritis: a systematic review of the literature

Mohammed Ali,¹ Ahmed Mohamed,² Hussam Elamin Ahmed,³ Ajay Malviya,¹ and Ismael Atchia¹ Author information > Article notes > Copyright and License information <u>PMC Disclaimer</u>

Abstract

Purpose: This review aims to determine whether ultrasound-guided platelet-rich plasma injection has any role in improving clinical outcomes in patients with hip osteoarthritis. Methods: A search of the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence database using the Healthcare Databases Advanced Search tool was conducted. The PubMed database was also utilised to search the Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online, Excerpta Medica database, Cumulative Index of Nursing and Allied Health and Allied and Complimentary Medicine databases. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis methodology guidance was employed and a quality assessment was performed using the Jadad score. Results: Three randomised clinical trials met the inclusion criteria and were included for analysis. All three trials were of good quality based on the Jadad score. A total of 115 patients out of 254 received platelet-rich plasma injections under ultrasound guidance. The platelet-rich plasma recipient group included 61 males and 54 females with an age range from 53 to 71 years. Outcome scores show an improvement of symptoms and function maintained up to 12 months following platelet-rich plasma injection. Conclusions: Literature to date concludes that intra-articular platelet-rich plasma injections of the hip, performed under ultrasound guidance to treat hip osteoarthritis, are well tolerated and potentially efficacious in delivering long-term and clinically significant pain reduction and functional improvement in patients with hip osteoarthritis. Larger future trials including a placebo group are required to further evaluate these promising results. Level of evidence: Level I, a systematic review of level I studies.

Keywords: platelet-rich plasma, hip joint, osteoarthritis, ultrasound guidance injection

Introduction

Go to: 🕨

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a syndrome of joint pain and dysfunction that is caused by joint degeneration⁽¹⁾. OA affects more people than any other joint disease, and has widespread economic and social consequences. The problem is likely intensified by current demographic trends, including the pandemic of obesity and higher recreational activity levels of our elderly population⁽²⁾. Currently, there is no known cure for OA. Therefore, treatment is aimed at decreasing pain, maintaining or improving joint mobility, and limiting functional impairment⁽³⁾. Inflammation has been postulated as a key driver for pain in OA⁽⁴⁾, but a recent meta-analysis concluded that disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) do not provide pain relief beyond placebo in OA⁽²⁾.

Lately, platelet-rich plasma (PRP) has become increasingly popular among the orthopaedic community as a minimally invasive technique for enhancing tissue healing in different conditions including rotator cuff pathology⁽⁶⁾, greater trochanteric pain syndrome⁽⁶⁾, knee osteoarthritis⁽⁷⁾, lateral epicondylitis⁽⁸⁾, osteochondral lesions of the talus⁽⁹⁾ and other orthopaedic conditions. It has been postulated that PRP





Interactive Poll What do you see as the risks and limitations to blind injections for pain management?

- Inaccurate injections
- Imprecise injections
- Painful procedures
 - **Required repeats**
- Hit vessel / nerve / pleura etc.





Your Host





David Rosenblum, M.D. Interventional Pain Management

Regenerative Medicine Ultrasound Guided Platelet Rich Plasma Injections

David Rosenblum, MD

Platelet Rich Plasma

 Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is an autologous product that concentrates a large number of platelets in a small volume of plasma



Mechanism of Action

- PRP has 7 fundamental proteins: platelet derived growth factors (PDGF), transforming growth factor– β (TGF-β), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and adhesive proteins – fibrin, fibronectin, and vitronectin
- PDGF is a glycoprotein, which emerges from degranulation of platelets at the site of injury.
- It activates cell membrane receptors on target cell which in turn develop high energy phosphate bonds, which activates the signal proteins to initiate specific activity of target cells.
- These specific activities include mitogenesis, angiogenesis and macrophage activation



Indication

- Abundant high-quality evidence supports the use of LR-PRP injection for lateral epicondylitis and LP-PRP for osteoarthritis of the knee.
- Moderate high-quality evidence supports the use of LR-PRP injection for patellar tendinopathy and of PRP injection for plantar fasciitis and donor site pain in patellar tendon graft BTB ACL reconstruction

Contoversial....

- There is insufficient evidence to routinely recommend PRP for rotator cuff tendinopathy, osteoarthritis of the hip, or high ankle sprains.
- Current evidence demonstrates a lack of efficacy of PRP for Achilles tendinopathy, muscle injuries, acute fracture or nonunion, surgical augmentation in rotator cuff repair, Achilles tendon repair, and ACL reconstruction.



Side effects

- Since the procedure uses the patient's own blood, there are normally very few side effects.
- Mild side effects that have been reported include mild nausea, dizziness and fainting

Contraindications

- History of Metastatic disease
- Possibility of Infections in the areas Targeted for PRP therapy
- Pregnancy or Breastfeeding
- Anticoagulant Prescription Medications or Antiplatelet Therapy
- Corticosteroid Injections and NSAIDS
- History of Severe Liver Disease
- Allergies to Bupivacaine HCL, Lidocaine, or Bovine Thrombin





Types of PRP

- Leukocyte- and platelet-rich plasma (L-PRP)
 - resulted in a higher incidence of side effects in the treatment of osteoarthritis

• Pure platelet-rich plasma (P-PRP)

 had a lower leukocyte concentration, possibly due to the fact that leukocytes in PRP may deliver pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), at the site of injection, resulting in the production of destructive proteases that inhibit the formation and promote the degradation of extracellular matrix



Return to Play

- Purpose: Evaluating outcomes of ultrasound guided injections of PRP into the injured anterior - inferior tibiofibular ligament (AITFL) in athletes by monitoring "return to play" and "dynamic stability".
- Method: 16 elite athletes with AITFL tears were included PRP (2) injections, 7 days apart) vs. a control group (no intervention - only rehab program). Both groups had the same rehab course and return to play protocol's. Pre-treatment and 6-week follow up ultrasounds were done to access the dynamic stability of the joint and also AITFL scarring. The primary measure was time needed for athletes to return to full pre-injury training intensity.
- Results/ Conclusion: "Athletes suffering from high ankle sprains benefit from ultrasound-guided PRP injections with a shorter RTP, re-stabilization of the syndesmosis joint and less longterm residual pain." - Level 2 evidence
- <u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00167-014-3119-x</u>







LIVE IN PERSON AND ZOOM AVAILABLE

REGENERATIVE PAIN MEDICINE NYC

SATURDAY MAY 4, 2024









Minimizer Implementing



Info@NRAPpain.org

6 CME



FOR MORE INFORMATION



www.NRAPpain.org



Sacroiliac Joint Pain

Risk factors

Stressors that effect the SIJ include gait abnormalities, prior lumbar fusion, obesity, lumbar spinal stenosis, pregnancy, leg length discrepancy, and scoliosis





Sacroiliac Joint Pain

Diagnosis

- No single physical exam maneuver is diagnostic combination of specific findings and provocative tests can be essential in determining SIJ disorders.
- Specific physical exam provocative tests for SIJ dysfunction include FABER, compression, distraction, thigh thrust, and Gaenslen tests.
- Typically, SIJ pain is diagnosed when at least three out of the five provocative maneuvers are positive.
- Of the three positive tests, either the thigh thrust or compression test should be positive.
- By performing these provocative maneuvers, there is an 85% pretest probability that an intraarticular joint injection will be successful. Another study confirmed that three or more pain provocation SIJ tests have a 91% sensitivity and 78% specificity"







Thigh Thrust Test (Posterior Shear Test)





Gaenslen Test



Compression Test



Distraction Test



Reference

Buchanan P, Vodapally S, Lee DW, Hagedorn JM, Bovinet C, Strand N, Sayed D, Deer T. Successful Diagnosis of Sacroiliac Joint Dysfunction. J Pain Res. 2021 Oct 8;14:3135-3143. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S327351. PMID: 34675642; PMCID: PMC8517984.



Sacroiliac Joint PRP Injection









Greater Trochanteric Bursitis

- Describes disorders of the peritrochanteric space, including trochanteric bursitis, abductor tendon pathology, and external coxa saltans.
- GTPS is a common cause of lateral hip pain and tenderness, with an annual incidence as high as 1.8 per 1000 adults in the primary care setting.
- While GTPS is seen in all age groups, it most commonly affects patients during their fourth to sixth decades of life, with a female predominance of 2–3 to 1



Greater Trochanteric Bursitis

Risk Factors:

- Increased age
- Obesity
- Osteoarthritis of the knee or hip
- Lower back pain
- Leg length discrepancy





Greater Trochanteric Bursa PRP Injection





The Shoulder

Biologic Targets



Glenohumoral Joint Injection Anterior Out of Plane





Glenohumoral Joint Posterior Approach: Out of Plane

Posterior View







Biceps Tendon Tendinopathy and Inflammation on Imaging



Acromion Subdeltoid bursa Coracoid process Humerus **Bicipital tendon** Subscapularis muscle Biceps brachii (long head) Biceps brachii (long head) Triceps brachii

Biceps Tendon PRP Injection







The Acromioclavicular Joint PRP Injection





The Knee

Femur ACL







Cervical Trigger Point Injections

Semispinalis Capitis

Splenius Capitis

Levator scapular







Cervical Trigger Point Peri-Articular Injections





Cervical Anatomy Vertebral Artery









David Rosenblum, MD Course Director

Director of Pain Management, Maimonides Medical Center



ULTRASOUND TRAINING NEW YORK CITY **CME** Certification

Practical Interventional Ultrasound Procedures Focus on: Upper Extremity, Lower Extremity, Peripheral Nerve, Soft Tissue, and Spine



NRAP Academy

6CMEcredits



painexam.com

Regional Anesthesia and Chronic Pain

Upper Extremity

Lower Extremity

Peripheral Nerve Blocks

Spine

Soft and Joint Tissue

Scan Live Model



NRAP Academy offers continuing education:



Live Demonstration





Sonographer | Clinical Marketing Manager





What additional information would you like?

www.clarius.com/pain www.clarius.com/demo www.clarius.com/classroom



Clarius L15 HD3

- 30% Smaller & More Affordable
- Wireless Freedom
- High-Definition Imaging
- Easy App for iOS & Android
- Needle Enhance
- Clarius Voice Controls
- Clarius Cloud Storage
- Clarius Live Telemedicine
- Unlimited Users



Questions



Dr. David Rosenblum, M.D.

Interventional Pain Management





Shelley Guenther

Sonographer







Clorius Thank you!